

COUNCIL

Questions Raised on Notice by Members of the Public

- (a) Questions will be asked in the order in which notice of them was received, except that the Chairman may group together similar questions.
- (b) The period for questions by the public shall be limited so that no further questions shall be put after the elapse of 15 minutes from the commencement of the first question.
- (c) A maximum of three minutes is allowed for the each question to be read.
- (d) A questioner who has put a question in person may also put one supplementary question without notice to the member who has replied to his or her original question. A supplementary question must arise directly out of the original question or the reply. The Chairman's ruling on the admissibility of the supplementary question is final.
- (e) Afterwards, any other Member at the Chairman's discretion may speak for up to two minutes on a question or reply.

Question(s)

In accordance with Rule 11 of the Council Procedure Rules, notice was given by a member of the public to ask the following question:

(1) Peterlsaac will ask the Leader of the Council, Councillor K Mills:

“Council meetings are not a court of Law and here say is admissible for a person raising concerns.

Example 1) last meeting the Chairman raised Ukraine in the meeting stating that 100,000 had been killed this was permissible and accepted here say – although unfounded, incorrect and lacking in further substance.

Example 2) A concern such as a burglary person phones the police “I heard something, there might be a burglary.” --- This is here-say that Police have a duty investigate.

Example 3) Children in Dover protesting about being touched inappropriately in school an issue that would absolutely need to be investigated.

Joint Enterprise Law, once knowing the concern, the public body have a reason to think that an offence might occur and a duty under Law to at least report to the appropriate authority such as Police for investigation and DDC Legislate through Nuisance Bylaws.

The lawful Duty of DDC to ensure the safety of our Children in schools etc, if the relevant H&S, Schooling and care authorities have failed to verify that foreigners put into our schools are actually children, then DDC are bound by Duty to ensure Age verification is carried out, methods such as dental and medical checks establish age, especially where the character of the foreigner

cannot be proven to be compatible with our lawful society, ensuring our children are not injuriously effected and are comfortable with this situation.

References: *DDC Ability to Enact Public Nuisance Bylaw* - Ref: *Attorney General v PYA Quarries (1957) 2QB 169* - My complaint letter 7th March 2023 to DDC General meeting.

With Joint Enterprise Law the Public body would be liable upon conviction, guilty of or complicit with the same crime of molesting a minor if it were to occur after they were informed of the concern, because they failed to uphold their lawful Duty of Care about something they knew might happen.

DDC are under duty, to ensure any relevant Public body are carrying out their duties properly whilst SERVING the English People of this district and legislate bylaws when Public Bodies fail, if the need arises.

Question:

Do Dover District Council, councillors and officers understand the seriousness of their duties and trust to ensure other Public bodies for which Dover English Tax payers pay tax. The DDC SERVE the English people of Dover and introduce Bylaws where Public bodies are failing in responsibility.”

(2) Christine Oliver will ask the Leader of the Council, Councillor K Mills:

“Will DDC leaders support the Climate & Ecology Bill, introduced in the House of Commons on 10 May? It is a vital, new, cross-party proposal—drafted by world-leading scientists—to ensure that the UK plays its full role in tackling biodiversity loss and climate change.

There is a gap between what is currently being delivered—and what the Government needs to do to act in line with the latest science. The Climate and Ecology Bill, or CE Bill, would:

- 1) Provide a clear, joined-up plan—the crises in climate and nature are deeply intertwined, requiring a plan that considers both together. Reduce UK emissions in line with the Paris Agreement—ensuring that UK emissions are reduced rapidly, for the best chance of limiting warming to 1.5°C.
- 2) Halt and reverse the decline in nature—setting nature measurably on the path to recovery by 2030, as agreed at COP15.
- 3) Ensure that the UK takes responsibility for our overseas footprint—both emissions and ecological.
- 4) Involve the public—giving people a say in finding a fair way forward through a ‘climate and nature assembly’.”

(3) Sarah Waite-Gleave will ask the Leader of the Council, Councillor K Mills:

“Will the new DDC leadership heed the call of Dover district’s many climate concerned citizens, to replace the closeted Climate Change PAG with a more publicly accountable working group involving representatives from all parties represented on the district’s town councils, and community and civil society representatives, in order to take the urgently needed large-scale actions on the conjoined cost-of-living, climate and nature crises, so that, in partnership, the net zero target for the whole district may be brought forward from 2050 to 2035?”

(4) Nigel Collor will ask the Portfolio Holder for Transport, Licensing and Environmental Services, Councillor J L Pout:

“Yet again the Spring Bank Holiday brought the predictable traffic chaos that occurs far too often to Dover Town Centre. This time the French PAF computer system was to blame and every time his predecessors have made representation to various bodies and been advised that lessons have been learned.

As he will appreciate, Dover TAP and Operation Brock were both activated but they only control the flow of freight traffic and not tourist traffic that is the main issue every time.

This situation obviously has a serious effect on businesses in the town and indeed emergency vehicles as well as carers trying to get to their clients.

Will the Portfolio Holder advise what action he took after this latest episode and what discussions is he having as the ‘Big July Get Away’ rapidly approaches?”

(5) Graham Wanstall will ask the Leader of the Council, Councillor K Mills:

“There have been various examples over recent years of residents experiencing problems parking in their own roads. DDC policy is to only allow parking zones which prevents some individual roads solving their problems if residents in nearby roads cause the problems. This situation is not due to restrictive law but DDC policy.

As Leader will you initiate a review of this policy with a view to allowing flexibility thus solving problems for individual roads which having only zones does not resolve?”

(6) David White (Deal Society) will ask the Leader of the Council, Councillor K Mills:

“The Deal Society note the potential sale of the South Street car park as part of the proposed redevelopment of The Regent.

We would like there to be discussion as to the benefits of such a sale versus the loss of public amenity, that being the car parking spaces, public toilets and bus waiting room.

We would not want such a loss of that amenity to occur through private discussions - we feel that councillors should have the chance to debate that issue in public and without the constraints of that discussion being related to a specific planning application.

We therefore ask that such a discussion takes place as soon as possible.”

(7) Charis Muir will ask the Leader of the Council, Councillor K Mills:

“There are a number of sites within the district where land is unlawfully occupied by travellers in breach of either planning regulations or Enforcement Notices. Given that in their local plan DDC have now identified sufficient land for travellers it is disappointing to note that such sites continue to be occupied unlawfully. Could you please tell me what action you and your Cabinet are now going to take to enforce the removal of the travellers from such sites?”